

## Feb 8, 2008 - Turing Reduction

Lecture note of EECS 395 Winter 2008 (Prof. Fortnow) taken by Bach Ha

### Normal reduction

- $A \leq_M^P B$  ( $P$ : Polynomial time,  $M$ : many 1s)
- $x \in A \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in B$  for some  $f \in FP$

### Example of Tautology problem

- Tautology is like SAT but for all assignments  $TAUT = \{\phi \mid \phi \text{ is true for all assignment}\}$
- $\phi$  is a tautology  $\Leftrightarrow \neg\phi$  is not satisfiable
- Hence being able to solve  $SAT$  means being able to solve  $TAUT$
- However, we cannot say  $TAUT \leq_M^P SAT$  since we need to switch sign of the answer

### Example of Exact Clique problem

- $EXACTCLIQUE = \{(G, k) \mid \text{The largest clique of } G \text{ of size } k\}$
- This can be solve by seeing whether or not there is a clique of size  $k$  and no clique of size  $k + 1$ .
- But again, we cannot use this kind of reduction because we can only ask one question, here two questions were asked.

### Oracle Turing Machine

- Sort of a standard TM that has input, work tapes, and special oracle tape and 3 special state,  $q_?$ ,  $q_Y$  and  $q_N$ .
- $M$  writes down a query on the oracle tape, it enters  $q_?$  and “magically” in one step goes to either  $q_Y$  or  $q_N$ .
- Let  $A \in \Sigma^*$ , and  $M^A(x)$  with oracle  $A$  and input  $x$ . When  $M$  writes  $x$  on the oracle tape, it enters  $q_?$ , if  $x \in A$  then it goes to  $q_Y$  or else go to  $q_N$

- $M^{EXACTCLIQUE}(G, k)$  accepts if  $(G, k) \in CLIQUE$  and  $(G, k + 1) \notin CLIQUE$ . This machine accepts  $\Leftrightarrow$  it is an exact clique.

### Broader notion of reduction: Turing reduction

- $A \leq_T^P B$  (reads  $A$  is poly time Turing reducible to  $B$ ) iff there is a poly time oracle TM  $M$  such that  $x \in A \Leftrightarrow M^B(x)$  accepts.
- Example: We say  $EXACTCLIQUE \leq_T^P CLIQUE$ , the reverse is true by consider all cases
- Lemma: If  $A \leq_T^P B$  and  $B \in P$  then  $A \in P$
- This is probably not true for  $NP$ .

### Some more complexity classes (quantum hierarchy)

- We want to put some more complexity classes in between  $NP/co-PN$  and  $PSPACE$  hierarchy graph.
- Define  $P^A$  is a set of languages such that there exists a poly-time oracle TM  $M$  such that  $x \in L \Leftrightarrow M^A(x)$  accepts. Similar with  $NP^A$ .
- Define  $\Delta_0^P = \Sigma_0^P = \Pi_0^P = P$
- $P^C = \bigcup_{A \in C} P^A$ . Hence  $EXACTCLIQUE \in P^{NP}$  since  $CLIQUE \in NP$  and  $EXACTCLIQUE \in P^{CLIQUE}$
- Let  $\Delta_{k+1}^P = P^{\Sigma_k^P}$ ,  $\Sigma_{k+1}^P = NP^{\Sigma_k^P}$ ,  $\Pi_{k+1}^P = co - NP^{\Sigma_k^P} = co - \Sigma_{k+1}^P$ ,  $PH = \bigcup_n \Sigma_n^P$
- Some notes:
  - $\Delta_1^P = P$ ,
  - $\Sigma_1^P = NP^P = NP$ ,
  - $PH \in PSAPCE$  since: (Using induction)
    - \*  $\Sigma_0^P = P \in PSAPCE$
    - \*  $\Sigma_{k+1}^P = NP^{\Sigma_k^P} \leq NP^{PSPACE} \leq NSPACE = PSPACE$
- $\Sigma_k^P \in \Sigma_{k+1}^P$ . Similarly,  $\Pi_k^P \leq \Sigma_{k+1}^P$ :
  - If  $L \in \Pi_k^P$ , that means  $\bar{L} \in \Sigma_k^P$
  - $\Sigma_{k+1}^P = NP^{\Sigma_k^P}$

- $M^{\bar{L}}(x)$  accepts if  $x \notin \bar{L}$ .
- $\Sigma_k^P \leq P^{\Sigma_k^P}$ ,
- $\Delta_{k+1}^P \leq \Sigma_{k+1}^P \leq \Pi_{k+1}^P$ .
- This is called quantum hierarchy
  
- Is it infinite?
- The following are equivalent:
  - $\Sigma_k^P = PH$  ( $\Sigma_k^P = \Sigma_l^P$  for all  $l \geq k$ ),
  - $\Sigma_k^P = \Pi_k^P$ ,
  - $\Sigma_k^P = \Delta_{k+1}^P$ ,
  - $\Sigma_k^P = \Sigma_{k+1}^P$ .
- Call above as a, b, c, d, we can show  $a \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a$ .