

EECS 311: Data Structure and Data Management Lecture 25, 26 Union-Find

Union-Find (Cont.)

Analysis of union-by-rank with path-compression

Algorithm: find(i) with path compression

1. if $\text{array}[i] \leq 0$, return i .
2. $\text{array}[i] = \text{find}(\text{array}(i))$
3. return $\text{array}[i]$.

Def: the *rank* of a node, is its height without path-compression.

Note: store *negative rank* at root nodes.

Algorithm: union(i, j) by rank

1. $i' = \text{find}(i)$.
2. $j' = \text{find}(j)$.
3. if $-\text{array}[i'] > -\text{array}[j']$, $\text{array}[j'] = i'$.
4. if $-\text{array}[i'] \geq -\text{array}[j']$, $\text{array}[i'] = j'$.
5. if $-\text{array}[i'] = -\text{array}[j']$, $\text{array}[j']++$.

Recall Def: $\log^* n$ = the number of logs you can take of n before you're ≤ 1 .

Example:

$\log^* n$	0	1	2	3	4	5
n	1	2	3-4	5-16	17-65536	65537-2 ⁶⁵⁵³⁶

Claim: with path-compression and union-by-rank m union and find operations from creation costs $O(m \log^* n)$

Claim 0: # nodes with rank ≥ 1 (n') \leq # of unions (m').

Proof: at most one node changes rank in union. induction.

Claim 1: node's rank \leq parent's rank -1

Proof:

- without path compression,
 1. rank = height.
 2. node height \leq parent height -1 .
- with path compression, node only gets higher ranked parent.

□

Claim 2: a rank r node has at least 2^r descendents.

Proof: (induction on rank)

base case (rank 0): true.

inductive hypothesis (rank r): claim holds for r .

inductive step (rank $r + 1$):

- to get rank $r + 1$, union two rank r nodes.
- I.H. implies both have $\geq 2^r$ decen-dents.

- total decedents $\geq 2^{r+1}$.

□

Claim 3: at most $n'/2^r$ nodes of rank $r \geq 1$.

Proof:

- Claim 1
 \Rightarrow ranks strictly increasing
 \Rightarrow rank r nodes have no common descendants.
- Claim 0 & Claim 2
 \Rightarrow at most $n'/2^r$ nodes of rank r .

□

- * else if $\log^*(j\text{'s rank}) < \log^*(j\text{'s parent's rank})$,
 pay \$1 from pocket.
 (happens at most $\log^* n$ times)

- on union(i, j): (make j' child i')
 - get paid $3 \log^* n + 4$:
 - * pay $\$2 \log^* n + 4$ find of i and j .
 - * deposit $\$ \log^* n$ in central account.
 - (***) withdraw j 's ceiling-rank(j 's rank) from central account and deposit in j 's account.

Example: if rank $j = 25$, deposit 35536 in j 's account.

Amortized Analysis of Union-Find

Def: rank-ceiling(r) = $\max\{r' : \log^* r' = \log^* r\}$.

Note: rank-ceiling(r) $\leq 2^r$.

Analysis

“no overdrawn accounts”

Claim: no overdraw from node acct's at (*)

Proof:

Accounting Method

- keep central account, and account at each non-root node.
- on find(i):
 - get paid $\$ \log^* n + 2$ (pocket).
 - must pay \$1 for each node j on path from i to root,
 - * if j is root, j 's parent is root, pay \$1 from pocket.
(happens twice)
 - * else if $\log^*(j\text{'s rank}) = \log^*(j\text{'s parent's rank})$,
 (*) withdraw \$1 from node acct to pay.

- how many times can node of rank r be charged?
- each time a node is charged, it gets a parent of higher rank.
- can happen at most ceiling-rank(r) times.

□

Claim: no overdraw from central acct at (**)

Proof:

- let $k = \log^* n$,
 let r_0, \dots, r_k be $\{1, 2, 4, 16, 35536, \dots\}$
- total deposits: $m' \log^* n$.

- # nodes with rank $\geq r + 1 \leq n'/2^r$
 $(\leq \sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} n'/2^i = n'/2^r)$
- withdrawals for ranks $\{r_i + 1, \dots, 2^r_j\} \leq$
 $\text{ceiling-rank}(r)n'/2^r \leq n'$.
- total withdrawals: $\leq \sum_{j=0}^k n' = n'k \leq$
 $m' \log^* n$.

□