Proving Properties of Programs

What is a Correctness Proof?

PLT @ Northwestern

Computer Science, Northwestern University

Testing Sorting Algorithms

• output is ordered

For all lists *l*, (sorted? (sort *l*))

• output is a permutation of the input

For all lists l, (permutation-of? l (sort l))

• .. for some sorting algorithms: sort is stable

Proving Programs Correct

• How to state properties of programs?

Proving Programs Correct

- How to state properties of programs?
 - output is ordered: for all lists *l*, *Sorted*((sort *l*))
 - output is a permutation of the input: for all lists $l, l \leftrightarrow j$ (sort l)
- How to prove programs correct?

Proving Programs Correct

- How to state properties of programs?
 - output is ordered: for all lists *l*, *Sorted*((sort *l*))
 - output is a permutation of the input: for all lists $l, l \leftrightarrow j$ (sort l)
- How to prove programs correct?
- What is a correctness proof?

```
struct Node { int data;
              Node* next; };
void insert(Node* head, int data) {
    while (head->next != nullptr)
        head = head->next:
    head->next = new Node{data, nullptr};
}
Node *rest = new Node{10, nullptr}:
Node *A = new Node{1, rest}:
insert(A, 99);
```

```
struct Node { int data;
              Node* next; };
void insert(Node* head, int data) {
    while (head->next != nullptr)
        head = head->next:
    head->next = new Node{data, nullptr};
}
Node *rest = new Node{10, nullptr}:
Node *A = new Node{1, rest}:
Node *B = new Node{2, rest};
insert(A, 99);
// breaks statements about B!
```

• A model of programming languages, e.g. how program runs

- A model of programming languages, e.g. how program runs
- Powerful tools for expressing properties & making deductions

- A model of programming languages, e.g. how program runs
- Powerful tools for expressing properties & making deductions
 Separation Logic: "These two pieces of programs shall share no memory"

- A model of programming languages, e.g. how program runs
- Powerful tools for expressing properties & making deductions
 Separation Logic: "These two pieces of programs shall share no memory"
- Can the proof *guide* the implementation of programs?

- A model of programming languages, e.g. how program runs
- Powerful tools for expressing properties & making deductions
 Separation Logic: "These two pieces of programs shall share no memory"
- Can the proof *guide* the implementation of programs?

For now, we restrict our attention to a tiny subset of Racket.

Our Goal: Correctness of Insertion Sort

- output is ordered
- output is a permutation of the input

```
(define (sort l)
  (match l
   Γ'() l]
   [(cons hd tl) (insert hd (sort tl))]))
(define (insert x l)
  (match l
   ['() (cons x '())]
   \int (\cosh hd tl) (if (< x hd))
                   (cons x l)
                   (cons hd (insert x tl)))]))
```

Example Properties Involving Lists

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

A list *l* is either:

- An empty list '()
- A cons cell (cons y l') where l' is another list.

A list *l* is either:

- An empty list '()
- A cons cell (cons y l') where l' is another list.

(cons 5 (cons 2 '())) is a list because:

A list *l* is either:

- An empty list '()
- A cons cell (cons y l') where l' is another list.

(cons 5 (cons 2 '())) is a list because:

- (cons 5 (cons 2 '())) looks like (cons $y \ l'$) where l' is (cons 2 '())
- (cons 2 '()) is a (another) list because:

A list *l* is either:

- An empty list '()
- A cons cell (cons y l') where l' is another list.

(cons 5 (cons 2 '())) is a list because:

- (cons 5 (cons 2 '())) looks like (cons $y \ l'$) where l' is (cons 2 '())
- (cons 2 '()) is a (another) list because:
 - (cons 2 ' ()) looks like (cons $z \ l''$) where l'' is ' ()
 - '() is a list

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof Template. (This is not a complete proof.)

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof Template. (This is not a complete proof.)

• Case *l* is '(): show that

(length (append '() l_1)) = (length '()) + (length l_1).

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof Template. (This is not a complete proof.)

• Case *l* is '(): show that

(length (append '() l_1)) = (length '()) + (length l_1).

• Case *l* is (cons *y l*'): assuming that for any *l*",

(length (append l' l'')) = (length l') + (length l''),

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof Template. (This is not a complete proof.)

• Case *l* is '(): show that

(length (append '() l_1)) = (length '()) + (length l_1).

• Case *l* is (cons *y l*'): assuming that for any *l*",

(length(append l' l'')) = (length l') + (length l''),

we need to show that

(length (append (cons y l') l_1)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l_1).

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof Template. (This is not a complete proof.)

• Case *l* is '(): show that

(length (append '() l_1)) = (length '()) + (length l_1).

• Case *l* is (cons *y l*'): assuming that for any *l*",

(length(append l' l'')) = (length l') + (length l''),

we need to show that

(length (append (cons y l') l_1)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l_1).

 By induction, (length (append l l₁)) = (length l) + (length l₁) holds for all lists l and l₁.

Proving Properties of List Functions by Induction

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof (1/4). Induction on *l*.

 Case l is '(): we need to show that (length (append '() l₁)) = (length '()) + (length l₁).

Proving Properties of List Functions by Induction

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof (1/4). Induction on *l*.

 Case l is '(): we need to show that (length (append '() l₁)) = (length '()) + (length l₁).

We are stuck: can't make progress with (length (append '() $l_{\rm l}$)) and (length '()).

"Running" Programs in Math

We will assume a programming language that

- Uses only lists, if, match, functions, number & arithmetic
- Does not use mutable variables
- All expression terminates

This way, we can partition programs into sets that "behave the same". For example, (if #t 5 3) should be the same as 5.

Let $e_1 \equiv e_2$ means that the programs e_1 and e_2 are equivalent.

"Running" Programs in Math

In the end, we want to be able to deduce that:

- (append (cons 1 (cons 2 '())) (cons 3 (cons 4 '()))) ≡ (cons 1 (cons 2 (cons 3 (cons 4 '()))))
- (length (cons 3 (cons 4 '()))) $\equiv 2$
- (length (append '() l_1)) \equiv (length l_1)

and more.

We will bake some program execution rules into " \equiv ".

Rules for "Running" Functions

```
(define (append xs ys)
  (match xs
    ['() ys]
    [(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl ys))]))
```

Rules for "Running" Functions

```
(define (append xs ys)
  (match xs
    ['() ys]
    [(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl ys))]))
```

```
We can replace (append '() l_1) by
```

```
(append '() l_1) = (match '() l_1) = (cons hd t) (cons hd (append t) l_1) = (cons hd t) (cons hd (append t) l_1))
```

Rules for "Running" Functions

```
(define (append xs ys)
  (match xs
    ['() ys]
    [(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl ys))]))
```

Similarly, we can replace (append (cons y l') l_1) by

```
(append (cons y l') l_1) = (match (cons y l') 
 ['() l_1] 
 [(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl l_1))])
```

Rules of "Running" Matches (1)

$$(match '() ['() e_1] \equiv e_1 [(cons hd tl) e_2])$$

Rules of "Running" Matches (1)

```
(match '())

['() e_1] \equiv e_1

[(cons hd tl) e_2])
```

Example:

```
(match '()) ['() l_1] \\ [(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl l_1))]) \\ \equiv l_1
```

Rules of "Running" Matches (2)

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{match } (\text{cons } y \ l') \\ ['() \ e_1] \\ [(\text{cons hd tl}) \ e_2]) \end{array} \equiv e_2 \{ \text{hd} \leftarrow y, \text{tl} \leftarrow l' \}$$

Rules of "Running" Matches (2)

```
 \begin{array}{ll} (\text{match } (\operatorname{cons} y \ l') \\ [ '( ) \ e_1 ] \\ [ (\operatorname{cons} hd \ tl) \ e_2 ] ) \end{array} \end{array} \equiv e_2 \{ \text{hd} \leftarrow y, \text{tl} \leftarrow l' \}
```

Example:

```
(match (cons y l')) ['() l_1] [(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl l_1))]) \equiv (cons y (append l' l_1))
```

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof (1/4). Induction on *l*.

 Case l is '(): we need to show that (length (append '() l₁)) = (length '()) + (length l₁).

By calculation in earlier slides,

 $(\text{length (append '() } l_1)) \\ \equiv (\text{length } l_1)$

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof (1/4). Induction on *l*.

 Case l is '(): we need to show that (length (append '() l₁)) = (length '()) + (length l₁).

By calculation in earlier slides,

(length (append '() l_1)) \equiv (length l_1)

 \equiv (length '()) + (length l_1)

Running the Length function

```
(define (length xs)
 (match xs
    ['() 0]
    [(cons hd tl) (+ 1 (length tl))]))
```

Running the Length function

```
(define (length xs)
  (match xs
    ['() 0]
    [(cons hd tl) (+ 1 (length tl))]))
```

We calculate:

```
(length '())

=
(match '()
    ['() 0]
    [(cons hd tl) (+ 1 (length tl))])
```

Running the Length function

```
(define (length xs)
  (match xs
      ['() 0]
      [(cons hd tl) (+ 1 (length tl))]))
```

We calculate:

```
(length '())

=
    (match '()
       ['() 0]
       [(cons hd tl) (+ 1 (length tl))])

=
```

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof (1/4). Induction on *l*.

 Case l is '(): we need to show that (length (append '() l₁)) = (length '()) + (length l₁).

By calculation in earlier slides,

(length (append '() l_1)) \equiv (length l_1)

 \equiv (length '()) + (length l_1)

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof (2/4). Induction on *l*.

 Case l is '(): we need to show that (length (append '() l₁)) = (length '()) + (length l₁).

By calculation in earlier slides,

	(length (append '() l_1))
≡	(length l_1)
=	0 + (length l_1)
≡	(length '()) + (length l_1)

Recap: Proving Properties of List Functions by Induction

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

Proof Template.

• \checkmark Case *l* is '(): show that

(length (append '() l_1)) = (length '()) + (length l_1).

- TODO Case l is (cons y l'): assuming that for any l", (length (append l' l")) = (length l') + (length l"), we need to show (length (append (cons y l') l₁)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l₁).
- By induction, (length (append l l₁)) = (length l) + (length l₁) holds for all lists l and l₁.

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1). **Proof (3/4)**.

 Case l is (cons y l'): we need to show that if for any l", (length (append l' l")) = (length l') + (length l") then we have (length (append (cons y l') l₁)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l₁):

More Calculation (1)

```
(\text{length (append (cons y l') } l_1)) = (\text{the rule of function call}) \\ (\text{length (match (cons y l')} \\ ['() l_1] \\ [(\text{cons hd tl}) (\text{cons hd (append tl } l_1))]))
```

```
(define (append xs ys)
  (match xs
    ['() ys]
    [(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl ys))]))
```

More Calculation (1)

```
(\text{length (append (cons y l') } l_1)) = (the rule of function call) 
(length (match (cons y l') 
['() l_1] 
[(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl l_1))])) 
= (the rules of match) 
(length (cons y (append l' l_1)))
```

```
(define (length xs)
  (match xs
     ['() 0]
     [(cons hd tl) (+ 1 (length tl))]))
```

More Calculation (2)

```
(length (append (cons u l') l_1))
             (the rule of function call)
=
   (length (match (cons u l')
                ['()]_{l_1}
                [(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl l_1))]))
             (the rules of match)
\equiv
   (length (cons u (append l' l_1)))
             (the rule of function call)
\equiv
   (match (cons u (append l' l_1))
      [() 0]
      \lceil (cons hd tl) (+ 1 (length tl)) \rceil
```

More Calculation (2)

```
(length (append (cons u l') l_1))
            (the rule of function call)
=
   (length (match (cons u l')
                ['()] l_1
                [(cons hd tl) (cons hd (append tl l_1))]))
            (the rules of match)
\equiv
   (length (cons u (append l' l_1)))
            (the rule of function call)
\equiv
   (match (cons u (append l' l_1))
      [() 0]
      [(cons hd tl) (+ 1 (length tl))])
            (the rules of match)
=
   (+ 1 (length (append l' l_1)))
```

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1). **Proof (3/4)**.

 Case l is (cons y l'): we need to show that if for any l", (length (append l' l")) = (length l') + (length l") then we have (length (append (cons y l') l₁)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l₁):

 $(\text{length (append (cons y l') } l_1)) \equiv (\text{length (cons y (append l' l_1))}) \\ \equiv 1 + (\text{length (append l' l_1)})$

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1). **Proof (3/4)**.

 Case l is (cons y l'): we need to show that if for any l", (length (append l' l")) = (length l') + (length l") then we have (length (append (cons y l') l₁)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l₁):

(length (append (cons y l') l_1)) \equiv (length (cons y (append $l' l_1$)))

 \equiv 1 + (length (append $l' l_1$))

 $1 + (\text{length } l') + (\text{length } l_1)$ $\equiv (\text{length } (\text{cons } y \ l')) + (\text{length } l_1)$

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1). **Proof (4/4)**.

 Case l is (cons y l'): we need to show that if for any l", (length (append l' l")) = (length l') + (length l") then we have (length (append (cons y l') l₁)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l₁):

(length (append (cons y l') l_1)) \equiv (length (cons y (append $l' l_1$)))

 $\equiv 1 + (length (append l' l_1)) \\ \equiv (induction hypothesis)$

1 + (length l') + (length l_1)

 \equiv (length (cons y l')) + (length l_1)

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1). **Proof (4/4)**.

 Case l is (cons y l'): we need to show that if for any l", (length (append l' l")) = (length l') + (length l") then we have (length (append (cons y l') l₁)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l₁):

(length (append (cons y l') l_1)) \equiv (length (cons y (append $l' l_1$)))

 $\equiv 1 + (length (append l' l_1)) \\ \equiv (induction hypothesis)$

1 + (length l') + (length l_1)

 \equiv (length (cons y l')) + (length l_1)

By induction, (length (append $l l_1$)) \equiv (length l) + (length l_1).

Sum Up: Proving Properties of List Functions by Induction

Property: "For all lists *l*, ... *l* ..." Proof (template).

Induction on *l*:

• Case *l* is '(): ... '() ...

• Case *l* is (cons *y l'*): if ... *l'* ... then ... (cons *y l'*) By induction, ... *l*

How Induction "Runs"

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

1. (length (append '() (cons 9 '()))) =
 (length '()) + (length (cons 9 '()))

We have shown that (length (append '() l_1)) = (length '()) + (length l_1). In this specific instance, l_1 is (cons 9 '()).

How Induction "Runs"

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

- 1. (length (append '() (cons 9 '()))) =
 (length '()) + (length (cons 9 '()))
- 2. (length (append (cons 2 '()) (cons 9 '()))) =
 (length (cons 2 '())) + (length (cons 9 '()))

We have shown that if for any l'', (length (append l' l'')) = (length l') + (length l'') then we have (length (append (cons $y l') l_1$)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l_1). Here the premise is true by (1), y := 2 and l' := '().

How Induction "Runs"

Example. The length function distributes over append: (length (append $l l_1$)) = (length l) + (length l_1).

- 1. (length (append '() (cons 9 '()))) =
 (length '()) + (length (cons 9 '()))
- 2. (length (append (cons 2 '()) (cons 9 '()))) =
 (length (cons 2 '())) + (length (cons 9 '()))
- 3. (length (append (cons 5 (cons 2 '())) (cons 9 '()))) =
 (length (cons 5 (cons 2 '())) + (length (cons 9 '()))

We have shown that if for any l'',

(length (append l' l'')) = (length l') + (length l'') then we have (length (append (cons y l') l_1)) = (length (cons y l')) + (length l_1). Here the premise is true by (2), y := 5 and l' := (cons 2 '()).

Appendix: Rules of Function Calls

```
For any function definition
(define (f x_1 x_2 ...)
e)
```

We have the computation rule

$$(f e_1 e_2 \dots) \equiv e\{x_1 \leftarrow e_1, x_2 \leftarrow e_2, \dots\}$$

Appendix: Rules of Match

$$(match '() \ ['() e_1] \equiv e_1 \ [(cons hd tl) e_2])$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\text{match } (\text{cons } x \ l) \\ ['() \ e_1] \\ [(\text{cons } \text{hd } \text{tl}) \ e_2]) \end{array} \end{array} \equiv e_2 \{ \text{hd} \leftarrow x, \text{tl} \leftarrow l \}$

Appendix: Rules of If

